

ICPSR COUNCIL MINUTES

June 9 – 10, 2016

Council Members: Christopher H. Achen (Past Chair), Robert S. Chen, Colin Elman, Jane Fry, Philip N. Jefferson, Michael Jones-Correa, Verna Keith, Chandra L. Muller (Chair), and Ronald Nakao

ICPSR Staff: JD Alford, George Alter, Rita Bantom, Shuming Bao, Dieter Burrell, Edward Czilli, Linda Detterman, Kathryn Frania, Peter Granda, Margaret Hedstrom, Susan Jekielek, Dory Knight-Ingram, John Marcotte, James McNally, Asmat Noori, Michelle Overholser, Amy Pienta, David Thomas, Jukka Savolainen, Sandra Schneider, Alison Stroud and Diane Winter

Staff Visitors: Bjørn Henrichsen, Director of the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD) and Chair, Council of European Social Science Data Archives (CESSDA); Doctor Ashe, Data Processing Supervisor, General Archive and Johanna Bleckman, Manager, Education and Child Care Data Archives.

Correction to the March 2016 minutes. Jane Fry was not on the Summer Program committee attendance in the March minutes. Ron Nakao moves to approve minutes with this change. March minutes were approved unanimously.

After introductions and approval of minutes George Alter presented the Director's Report to the Council. George Alter introduced Margaret Levenstein, who accepted the ICPSR Director's role effective July 1, 2016.

Director's Report

George Alter reported that our membership currently stands at 764. Our FY2017 forecast is 768 member institutions, nine new institutions on July with the first High School membership *Choate Rosemary Hall* which is a college-preparatory coeducation boarding school located in Wallingford, Connecticut. Member revenue for FY2016 are \$3,900,000 in fees billed with forecasted collections of \$3,800,000 and collections as of June 9, 2016 stand at \$3,856,000 (99% of the billing collected).

The FY 2016 forecast continues to improve. The original budget was at \$683K deficit and the latest forecast is \$230K deficit. The current budget projection for FY2017 is a total budget of \$17.9 revenue, currently showing at \$607K deficit. The budget includes \$785K in reserve funded expenses which includes PRS commitments (bridging and SDA) and specific infrastructure projects. Removing these expenses from the budget results in an operating balance surplus of \$178K.

Proposals submitted since March 2016.

George Alter	Variables Registry for Social Science Data (NSF)	\$2,248,126
George Alter	CIF21 DIBBs: EI: Continuous Capture of Metadata for Statistical Data (NSF)	\$2,565,643
James McNally	Measuring the Social Determinants of Health Across Multiple Studies: Identifying Health Disparities Variables Within Secondary Data Collections (NIA)	\$387,500
Jukka Savolainen	Campus Climate Survey on Sexual Assault and Sexual Misconduct (AAU)	\$29,930
Jukka Savolainen	Building Research Capacity for Firearm Safety among Children (NICHD)	\$328,652

Proposals awarded since March 2016.

George Alter	National Open Research Data Infrastructure (NORD-i)	\$28,323
Harold Neighbors	RWJF Diversity in Health Policy Research	\$22,188
Peter Granda	LAPOP Cross-national 2014 Grand Merge File (USAID)	\$47,665

Top 10 Downloaded Studies Released in the Previous 6 Months as of June 4, 2016

Title	Archive
National Survey on Drug Use and Health, 2014	NAHDAP
National Incident-Based Reporting System, 2014: Extract Files	NACJD
Uniform Crime Reporting Program Data: National Incident-Based Reporting System, 2014	NACJD
National Survey of Midlife Development in the United States (MIDUS 3), 2013-2014	NACDA
General Social Survey, 1972-2014 [Cumulative File]	ICPSR
National Crime Victimization Survey: Identity Theft Supplement, 2014	NACJD
Census of Jails, 2013	NACJD
Uniform Crime Reporting Program Data: Offenses Known and Clearances by Arrest, 2014	NACJD
Uniform Crime Reporting Program Data: Arrests by Age, Sex, and Race, Summarized Yearly, 2014	NACJD
Project STRIDE: Stress, Identity, and Mental Health	RCMD

Top 10 Data Downloads in the Previous Six Months as of June 4, 2016

Title	Archive	Number of downloads
National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent to Adult Health (Add Health), 1994-2008 [Public Use]	DSDR	1847
India Human Development Survey-II (IHDS-II), 2011-12	DSDR	1540
National Survey on Drug Use and Health, 2013	NAHDAP	1069
National Survey on Drug Use and Health, 2012	NAHDAP	1026
India Human Development Survey (IHDS), 2005	DSDR	1014
National Survey on Drug Use and Health, 2014	NAHDAP	808
National Crime Victimization Survey, 2014	NACJD	709
Police-Public Contact Survey, 2011	NACJD	652
Chinese Household Income Project, 2002	DSDR	545
Survey of Inmates in State and Federal Correctional Facilities, 2004	NACJD	544

The General Archive has received some very notable deposits and noteworthy data releases:

Deposits:

- The British Social Attitudes Surveys 1983-2012, enhanced to improve usability across the years and expanded to include the 2012 data
- The Attack on America and Civil Liberties Trade-Offs: A Three-Wave National Panel Survey, 2001-2004
- Historical Transportation of Navigable Rivers, Canals and Railroads
- National Surveys on Energy and the Environment, Fall 2008 & Fall 2015

Data releases:

- Afrobarometer Round 3.5: The Quality of Democracy and Governance in Nigeria, 2007
- Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA): Loan Application Register (LAR) and Transmittal Sheet (TS) Raw Data, 2010
- Survey on Mobility and Mobile Communication, 2012 [United States]
- Project HealthDesign: dwellSense - Using Sensor Data From Elders' Daily Activities to Augment Personal Health Records, 2011-2012 (HMCA)
- Project HealthDesign: iN Touch - Obese Teens and Young Adults Using Mobile Devices to Track Observations of Daily Living, 2011 (HMCA)

The Summer Program is offering 37 classes during their four-week sessions which include 25 statistical workshops, one substantive workshop and 11 lecture classes. They are also offering 52 classes in their short workshops which include 46 statistical short workshops. Nineteen of those are offered in Ann Arbor, 21 are offered at off-site locations and 6 are sponsored workshops (one offered in Berkeley, California).

The Education and Child Care Data Archive are offering a variety of webinars and training for 2016 Data Training Workshops beginning July 10 through the fall 2016. The Criminal Justice Archive is collaborating with Lynette Hoelter (Learning and Teaching Archive) on a learning

guide for undergraduates that help them reproduce the results that are in a report done by the Bureau of Justice Statistics. The students download the data and guides them to producing the results that are in this national report and the other thing that they did, the online guide has embedded videos.

All of ICPSR publications have been updated and on line including DataBytes <http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/files/membership/publications/enewsletters/2016-08-18.html>, and ICPSR Bulletin <http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/files/membership/publications/bulletin/2016-Q1.pdf>. We currently have over 2,500 followers on Twitter, 3,874 Facebook fans, 509 YouTube subscribers and 1,560 plus email subscribers. Our web traffic from July 1 2015 – May 4, 2016 consists of 540,045 website visits and 376,207 unique visitors.

A few events came out of an initiative to create more staff involvement in the Center. Linda Detterman has been a key person who have organized these events:

- ICPSR staff attended the first class in the ICPSR University on April 26 which was presented by Jared Lyle “curating and Managing Research Data for Re-Use” 47 staff members attended this training.
- ICPSR staff also attended another event that Doctor Ashe hosted. “Inside the Archivist’s Studio” which is based on “Inside the Actor’s Studio) featuring George Alter on April 20 and Mary Vardigan and Peter Granda on May 18.
- Alison Stroud has been awarded the 2016 ICPSR Innovator of the Year for contributions that included the founding of the Archive of Data on Disability to Enable Policy and Research (ADDEP); launch of ADDEP; assistance in securing NIH funding for ADDEP and in securing the first data deposit for the rehabilitation medicine community.

Maggie Levenstein, ICPSR Director Designate gave a presentation about the future of ICPSR.

Budget and Policy Committee

Council: Christopher Achen, Robert Chen, Philip Jefferson, Michael Jones-Correa,
Chandra L. Muller (Chair)

Staff: JD Alford, George Alter, Rita Bantom, Diane Winter

I. Strategic Plan Update

The strategic plan update was provide as part of the Director's Report. Additionally, Council and staff discussed the transition of the new director and the impact on budgets, funding and staff morale. It was agreed that ICPSR leadership had the flexibility to adjust the budget once the staffing plan has been developed. Staff needs to consult with Council prior to any final change to the budget is put into action.

A. Financial Updates

i. FY 2016 Year End Financial Projection

Staff noted that a good synopsis of the 2016 forecast and 2017 Budget was provided in the Director's Report. Staff reported that the actual 2016 fiscal year end financial reports are expected to result in a better than budgeted result. This is largely due to (1) less spending on Archonnex due to staff working on sponsored projects (2) ISR leadership put a two-year holiday on rent of common space (3) the University's allocation to ISR for the Shared Services Center is being held at the ISR level until some decisions are made about the University's Provost Tax to ISR and (4) Membership costs are down due to staff turnover and the timing of replacing positions.

ii. FY 2017 Proposed Budget

Staff explained the 2017 Proposed Budget to the committee. Council members agreed to recommend to full Council to adopt the 2017 Proposed Budget.

Staff and Council discussed the reporting layout and the desire to see a consolidated budget and financial report, rather than all of the various cost centers separately as is done today. While using the cost centers for internal analysis will still be important for our organization. Council also recommended preparing a 3 year projection for long term planning.

B. Personnel Update

Staff discussed the staffing report with Council, particularly how ICPSR compares with the rest of the university on diversity staffing. Staff reported that ICPSR does better than the other ISR Centers, but we need turnover to recruit a more diverse applicant pool.

II. Governance Issues

A. Update on ISR's Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Strategic Plan

Staff reported that ISR continues to work on its 5-year Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Strategic Plan. The current draft is currently being reviewed by the University's Office of General Counsel. Goals and strategic plans need to be prioritized. ISR is looking to assign a senior faculty person to take the lead on its Strategic Plan.

B. Sharing ICPSR's Metadata

Staff discussed the efforts expended to-date to look at our philosophy of sharing Metadata and Bibliographies with third parties. ICPSR believes that there is a huge benefit for users to find the data at ICPSR. At the March Council meeting Council asked ICPSR to poll other depositories to see if there is a benefit that we could get out of the corporate partnerships. ICPSR Director submitted an email to a group of depositories and received four or five responses. The Director contacted Elsevier and discussed some recent innovation they are using and expect to hear back from them soon.

Collection Development Committee

Council: Colin Elman, Jane Fry, Verna Keith, Ronald Nakao (Chair)
Staff: Doctor Ashe, Johanna Bleckman, Peter Granda, John Marcotte, Amy Pienta
Guests: Bjorn Henrichsen

I. Strategic Plan Update

Staff updated the Committee on four items related to the Strategic Plan:

- Genetic Data in the Social Sciences: The role of ICPSR: Providing information from the NIH Policy on Genomic Data Sharing and discussing work that ICPSR is doing to expand our capacity to work with genomic data.
- Qualitative Data Archiving project with Washington University in St. Louis: Describing a new project entitled "Sharing Qualitative Research Data: Identifying and Addressing Ethical Concerns and Practical Barriers" which aims to develop infrastructure to be part of a NIH-wide data archive for qualitative data. ICPSR will advise on curation and archive the files after WUSTL performs curation.
- Report on NIH/NSF Workshop on the Science of Science and Innovation Policy: Staff attended a joint meeting of NSF and NIH officials in Bethesda in April during which data sharing and archiving issues were raised as part of a broader discussion about the potential uses of both NSF and NIH administrative data for studying current and future trends in science policy and funding opportunities.
- Report on CESSDA Service Providers Meeting: Staff attended a meeting of the Consortium of European Social Science Data Archives (CESSDA) on May 10-11. Representatives from several national archives (also called service providers) attended

the meeting to discuss current work plans for their individual organizations and initiatives underway as they continue to build a general pan-European social science research infrastructure.

II. De-accession Policy

The impetus for this policy was a lack of any written, public-facing statement about what ICPSR does and does not do in this area. The document that Council reviewed contained two possible options for deaccessioning data:

Option 1:

Data deposited with ICPSR are disseminated and preserved to ensure their future accessibility. In rare instances, ICPSR, upon the request of the original depositor, will deaccession materials.

Option 2:

ICPSR permanently archives deposited files. On an ongoing basis, ICPSR evaluates its data holdings with regard to maintaining access and reserves the right to discontinue the distribution of a data collection when deemed appropriate.

While both options offer the possibility of de-accession, Option 2 would only allow it under extraordinary circumstances and would revolve around such criteria as:

- How often are the data used?
- What is the potential research value?
- Are there duplicate copies in a repository elsewhere?
- Are there significant errors in the data or documentation that cannot be corrected?
- Are there disclosure issues that cannot be resolved?

Council favored Option 2 but also wanted to include the last paragraph associated with Option 1: When materials are deaccessioned, the data are no longer publicly accessible at ICPSR, although they are still preserved in ICPSR's archival storage. The study description is still available to view, although it is not searchable through the ICPSR search index, and web crawlers are instructed to ignore the descriptions (via the robots exclusion protocol).

Council emphasized the possible importance of funder requirements that DOIs for data really need to be permanent and the increasing desire of journals for the very same thing. Council also suggested that text about "de-accessioning" find its way into the ICPSR terms of use. Council also felt that ICPSR should reserve the right to be compensated for processing costs should a study be de-accessioned.

III. Implementing Curation Levels at ICPSR

Staff presented a report to the Committee which said in part:

‘With the new ICPSR Collection Development Policy (CDP), we have begun to formally offer different levels of curation for data that are deposited with ICPSR. ICPSR is faced with developing long-term plans to take full advantage of this policy in order to be more directive in the ways we direct membership resources to be used for the curation of highest value data for the social sciences.

All uncured data are currently part of openICPSR where data are disseminated immediately in the condition they arrive in. openICPSR data are currently in a separate system and are available only through the openICPSR website (those data do get an entry in the ICPSR catalog and a link to openICPSR). openICPSR data can be designated for restricted access if the data contain sensitive information and/or indirect identifiers.

Proposed criteria for selecting data for membership-funded curation work:

- (1) Data that are likely to get high use (defined by applying the Collection priorities in the CDP) or data that are getting high use (current data in openICPSR and data we chose not to curate at time of deposit) and/or
- (2) Data that could benefit from additional curation. This means that additional metadata could be produced to ensure data are more usable and findable. This decision could be based on files already supplied to ICPSR or by soliciting additional information from the data producer. If there is reason to believe that with additional curation data it would increase use by the social science research community.”

The Committee discussed other criteria to select data collections for further curation based on the number of publications cited, if they have a substantial number of variables (at least more than 100), had NSF or NIH funding, or were longitudinal in design. The Committee also emphasized the importance of making clear distinction between uncured and cured data to principal investigators, data producers, and journal editors so that they understand the value that curation brings to discoverability and overall usability. Journals should express a preference for cured data and thereby encourage their authors to expend the necessary resources to fully document their collections or make provisions for archives like ICPSR to do it for them.

The Committee also asked the staff to consider more carefully how uncured and cured versions of the same dataset will be described to users and, in particular, to the membership. Staff will also continue to work out procedures with the advent of a new deposit system. If there will only be a single stream for ingest, careful attention will have to be given to any topical archive, which, because of contractual obligations, must curate ALL collections that they receive.

IV. Status Report on “Open Data for Flint, MI”

ICPSR staff developed a plan to provide a forum for sharing data about Flint, Michigan. Staff were inspired by the water “crisis” in Flint and believed that connections to researchers working in Flint positioned ICPSR uniquely to help build some infrastructure for data transparency in Flint and hopefully to stimulate new research.

Staff reviewed the plan with the Committee which appreciated the original concept but did not want ICPSR to give the appearance that this initiative had any type of social justice purpose and that it also should not focus on a single locality. Suggestions were made that additional materials could be added from other places if the focus will center on water problems. It was also recommended that, after further deliberation and the acquisition of new sources, it could be tied to the Resource Center for Minority Data.

The Committee also suggested the possibility of holding webinars and, perhaps, a special workshop that would include a focus on current events and data sources like the Flint case at an appropriate time.

V. New Reporting for Data Depositors

ICPSR has long permitted data depositors to generate usage reports on their own data collections. Some topical archives also send out customized reports to their depositors as well as their sponsors if stipulated in their grants or contracts. After interviewing a few depositors, ICPSR is considering a more active approach: sending out usage reports automatically to any depositor who wishes to receive one. Such a report could be used to create a “data impact factor” for each collection and, ideally, play a role in citation counts and tenure considerations. The Committee supported this idea but also suggested getting input from the Official Representative community about the most useful metrics to provide to depositors and on what frequency to offer to send such reports.

Membership Services Committee

Council: Philip Jefferson (Chair), Verna Keith, Chandra Muller
Staff: Linda Detterman, Lynette Hoelter, Dory Knight-Ingram, David Thomas
Guests: Doctor Ashe

I. Strategic Plan Update

Strategic Direction 2: Organizational Insights Committee (OIC) is analyzing results of a survey to ICPSR staff about the internal tools used across the organization to create reports of various activities for funders, council reports, and the like. Information will help to suggest modifications or refinements to these tools.

Strategic Direction 3: Innovation Committee has been hard at work – there is a “Hall of Heroes” now on the ICPSR intranet so that staff can see that innovation does happen. Alison Stroud is the first winner of the ICPSR Innovator award for her work on ICPSR’s new disability archive.

ICPSR University was kicked off by a Jared Lyle’s workshop on data curation. The only “negative” comment about the session was that people wished it could have been longer. There will be another ½ day workshop in September, with content to be announced.

The idea to have an *Inside the Archivist’s Studio* came up as we are in a time of such leadership transition and people wanted a way to help document ICPSR’s history, various decisions that were made, etc. The first session was with George Alter, second session was with Peter Granda and Mary Vardigan. Both were extremely well received and the combination of activities following from the innovation part of Strategic Direction 3 has helped morale across the organization.

Following from the description of ICPSR University, a comment was made that it would be nice to have a presentation about curation for the Council, perhaps as a plenary for the October meeting. This would allow council members to become more informed advocates and ambassadors of what we do as well. Linda’s goal is to have a series of short videos so that there could also be links to share and affirmation was given that video is the way to go, “people don’t want to read any more.”

II. RCMD Update

The search for an RCMD Director will be starting over such that the position can be broadened in ways that benefit ICPSR as a whole, potentially even creating stronger ties within the University of Michigan as well. The archive sees this as a pause, but there is still progress and forward movement. Similarly, there have been some logistical challenges in getting the Advisory Board together, but there is progress on that too.

As usual, the archive will be heavily involved in the Summer Internship program and are continuing to work with Woody Neighbors on the RWJF grant he was awarded when director.

RCMD has been working with the Gates Foundation for the past four or five years as the archive received money to process data and release it to the public. A new person has taken over the scholarship area now wants to give RCMD more data to create complete cohorts of data and would also like to change the way the data are “packaged” so that they are easier to use.

RCMD has also been working with a PI who has data on Caribbean migration and was looking for a space to collaborate and write papers. RCMD has connected that person with the SEAD project (also at ICPSR) so that the PI can use SEAD as a node between the data collection and deposit. This will provide an opportunity for user feedback and knowledge/understanding of restrictions of the infrastructure (not all users in writing group were in the original data collection group, so they will act as secondary users). The hope is that the documentation can be created within SEAD so there will be less work once RCMD receives the data.

III. Membership Status and Activity

As of June 30, there will be seven institutions dropping, 12 joining (as of now), compared to last year. Howard University had to be dropped because there was no return communication from them – their FY16 invoice has been cancelled and they have been dropped for FY17. There was discussion that maybe the OR left or the person on their end is not getting responses from their own administration, or some other easy explanation. Philip Jefferson realized that he knew OR and that that person has indeed retired. Verna Keith will contact a colleague to see about building the relationship again.

\$904k has already been collected for FY17, which represents 23% of the FY17 budget. This is a result of several schools wanting to pay early for next fiscal year. Linda also presented her usual “dashboard” of membership information, much of which was also included in George’s Director’s Report.

IV. Membership Outreach Activities

Linda mentioned the conferences ICPSR attended this spring. Regular Webinars have been presented and the Summer Program will use the Webinar format for an orientation to let participants know what they should bring, what to expect, and other basic information.

The ICPSR Website is in redesign and will probably launch in late July, early August.

A new repository has been created using OpenICPSR called Open Data for Flint. Amy Pienta is already looking at the ICPSR catalog to see what data might be easily tagged for the archive and she and Linda will be meeting with a UM researcher doing work in Flint next week. This project is also the impetus to include the ability to create topical collections within Archonnex – previously a collection could be done for an institution or journal, but not a group of datasets on a common theme.

The concept of having a regional meeting, hosted at a member institution (to reduce location cost) with a series of workshops similar to those held at the OR meeting. Area schools would be invited. The traditional OR meeting is labor and cost intensive and there has been question about whether this is the most effect way to communicate given the number of people attending for the cost. A regional meeting has already been included in the FY17 budget, but council feedback is desired before making the decision to move forward. It is unlikely anything would be planned before Jan. 1, 2017, no matter what. The follow-up discussion included concerns that people would decide not to come to the larger meeting because they assume there will be a regional meeting. Doing regional events would allow for additional outreach in that area. Are there differences in data access by region? If so, would we target heavy users or areas with lighter usage? The northeast has the highest concentration of “at risk” R1s/extensives so Boston area might be a good place to start. We also discussed the benefits of bringing ORs to Ann Arbor, beyond convenience for ICPSR staff – the ORs are immersed in our world, re-energized, sent out to be ambassadors (in other words, good customer relations). A suggestion was made that we might do regionals selectively, based on when there is a need like in the northeast. The reason for regionals isn’t necessarily clear yet to some. Other thoughts: regional meeting potentially

reaches a different audience and consolidates support for ORs; would doing regional meetings on “off years” be twice the work?; holding meeting at a high-status school might draw more people. Conversation concluded with an agreement to talk to the ORs in the northeast, state our needs/thoughts, and see if anyone will take it up to host.

Data Curation Outreach: focused effort to put together materials for federal agencies, institutional repositories, PIs/data producers. Progress is being made in putting the content and infrastructure together.

V. Instructional Resources Update

A conversation started from Linda’s noting that ICPSR has its first high school member leading others to suggest this as a potential area for growth. Someone suggested doing a presentation in an area where clusters of independent high schools, private schools, and/or charter schools exist, especially as those types of schools tend to like to “one up” each other.

Lynette gave updates on the summer internship program, paper competition winners, new resources (a guide to interpreting SPSS output), and conference presentations done by instructional resources. She is also following up with faculty who appear to be using Data-driven Learning Guides in their courses, based on MyData account information (seeing that a faculty member and multiple undergraduates from the same institution are using the same DDLG at about the same time). Feedback so far has been positive, with the request for more such resources. This contact also demonstrated that the DDLGs are used outside of the introductory-level social science courses for which they were initially intended – two heavy users are teaching about education as mission (Moody Bible Institute) and another a criminal justice course, both at the graduate level.

Finally, Lynette introduced the idea of a potential partnership with Sage publishing who had sent a proposal just before the council meeting. She was given permission to continue exploring a potential partnership – perhaps they would pay for resources to be created.

Preservation and Access Committee

Council: Robert S. Chen (Chair), Michael Jones-Correa, Ronald Nakao
Staff: Jared Lyle, Elizabeth Moss, Asmat Noori, Harshakumar Ummerpillai

I. Strategic Plan Update – Certification

ICPSR staff reported on recent trustworthy repository certification activities. Council noted that funders are paying more attention to ensuring that people deposit data in trusted repositories. Council feels that ICPSR’s approach to certification is valuable and should continue.

II. Archonnex

ICPSR CNS staff reviewed the current state of Archonnex and highlighted several new features:

- Search functionality added
- Authentication/log-in system integrated into standard ICPSR
- New user interface

Regarding future developments, ICPSR is on track for releasing version 1.0 by August. By December, the new deposit system will be implemented. Version 1.x will deal with dissemination. Version 2.0 will tackle general pipeline and systems that run on the backend.

CNS staff also discussed budget and staffing. As long as development is underway, ICPSR is developing two systems in parallel, which is a drain on resources. Council recommended that there is flexibility in the budget in case issues with development work arise. They also recommended coming up with a firmer strategy for funding IT.

Council also discussed how data rights are articulated within Archonnex so there is clarity on who owns and authorizes data. They recommended the order of the deposit agreement be changed to emphasize data rights.

III. Joining DPN's Preservation Network

ICPSR staff updated Council on recent activities related to joining the Data Preservation Network (DPN). DPN has offered Data-PASS the rate of \$2,500 per terabyte for 20 years of replicated storage (3 copies using 2 separate technologies). Council indicated that joining DPN seems like a reasonable strategy and approved that ICPSR move forward.

IV. Data-related Publications Update

Elizabeth Moss updated Council on activities related to the Bibliography of Data-related Literature, a continuously-updated database of 70,000 citations of known published and unpublished works resulting from analyses of data held in the ICPSR archive. Council encouraged ICPSR to look into data mining tools to assist with data-related literature collection.

Summer Program Committee

Council: Colin Elman (Chair), Chris Achen, Jane Fry

Staff: Saundra Schneider, Edward Czilli, Dieter Burrell, Johanna Bleckman

I. Update on the Strategic Plan: Inclusion and Diversity Efforts

Summer Program staff discussed current efforts by the Summer Program to increasing diversity among faculty and TAs, among participants, and across the curriculum. Among the improvements this year are an expanded set of Blalock lectures on diversity-related topics, a preliminary increase

in the diversity of the teaching assistants, and efforts to promote S.P. scholarships more widely to a diverse academic audience. Staff also reported on continuing efforts to reanimate the relationship with the Society of Political Methodology in relation to promoting two scholarships.

II. Update on Summer Program Scholarships: Building Upon Existing Strengths; Expanding to New Constituencies and Communities

Summer Program staff reported on the ICPSR-sponsored scholarships for 2016. It is expected that the scholarships for 2016 will be slightly under their \$104,000 budget. Committee members discussed the ever-changing relationship between the Summer Program and various professional academic associations, including how they do (or do not) assist with the promotion of both scholarships and general course offerings. Committee members also discussed the continuing issue of how ICPSR might provide some support for participants from under-resourced institutions or directly to under-resourced participants. Committee members agreed that this is a very difficult issue and that more discussion is warranted.

III. Overview of the 2016 Summer Program

Staff gave an overview of the schedule of courses for the 2015 Summer Program. A total of 88 courses are planned: 38 courses in the four-week sessions running from June 20 through August 12, and 50 short (two- to five-day) courses offered from early May through mid-August. Two off-site (non-Ann Arbor) locations have been added this year: Bloomington, Indiana and Annapolis, Maryland. Committee members discussed several aspects of the curriculum, including the differences between fee-carrying and sponsored short courses.

Action Items

From Council meeting June 9 – 10, 2016

Budget & Policy

- Approve budget with understanding that modifications may be necessary in consultation with the Council (approved)

Collection Development

- Provide usage statistics for openICPSR at next Council meeting
- Report in October on handling of LaCour study in openICPSR

Member Services

- Plenary at October Council on the meaning of data curation, possibly with discussion of Archonnex

Preservation & Access

- Draft plan for sustaining IT innovation